

On a new classification of the Boolean functions

Sergey N. Fëdorov

Information Security Institute
of
Lomonosov University, Moscow

CTCrypt '18

Boolean functions in n variables: $\mathcal{F}_n = \{f: \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2\}$

Inner product of $u, v \in V_n = \mathbb{F}_2^n$: $\langle u, v \rangle = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n u_i v_i$

Weight: $\text{wt}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i$, $\text{wt}(f) = \sum_{u \in V_n} f(u)$

Support: $\text{supp } \varphi = \{u \in V_n \mid \varphi(u) \neq 0\}$

Walsh–Hadamard spectrum: $W_f(u) = \sum_{v \in V_n} (-1)^{f(v) \oplus \langle u, v \rangle}$

Autocorrelation function: $\Delta_f(u) = \sum_{v \in V_n} (-1)^{f(v) \oplus f(u \oplus v)}$

Global Avalanche Characteristics are two numerical parameters of *autocorrelation function* [Zhang X. M., Zheng Y., 1995].

Some other approaches implicitly use this function as well.

In a forthcoming paper of O. A. Logachev, V. V. Yashchenko, and S. F., it is proposed to treat autocorrelation function, on its own, for classifying Boolean functions.

Definition

Two Boolean functions f and g are Δ -equivalent, $f \overset{\Delta}{\approx} g$, if their autocorrelation functions are identical, $\Delta_f = \Delta_g$.

Proposition

$$f \overset{\Delta}{\approx} g \iff |W_f| = |W_g|$$

Example

Consider the plateaued functions of order $2r$
 $\{f \in \mathcal{F}_n \mid \forall u \in V_n, W_f(u) \in \{0, \pm 2^{n-r}\}\}$

The plateaued functions f with fixed $\text{supp } W_f$
form one Δ -equivalence class.

In particular,

- there is a Δ -equivalence class consisting of all bent functions;
- there are 2^n two-element classes $\{f, f \oplus 1\}$ for each linear f .

Cardinality κ of a $2r$ -order plateaued function class satisfies
 $2 \leq \kappa \leq C_{2^{2r}}^{2^{r-1}(2^r+1)}$.

- Affine (\mathcal{A}_n)
- Algebraic degenerate,
 $\{f \mid \exists k < n, \exists g \in \mathcal{F}_k, \exists D \in \mathbb{F}_2^{k \times n} : \forall u \in V_n, f(u) = g(Du)\}$
- Balanced
- Plateaued of order $2r$, $\{f \mid \forall u \in V_n, W_f(u) \in \{0, \pm 2^{n-r}\}\}$
- Maximal nonlinear, or bent when n is even
- Correlation immune
 - CI_a : w. r. t. a vector a ($f(u) \oplus \langle a, u \rangle$ is balanced)
 - $CI(k)$: of order k
 $(\forall i_j, \forall a_j \in \mathbb{F}_2, \Pr[f(u) = 1] = \Pr[f_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^{a_1, \dots, a_k}(u) = 1])$
- k -Resilient (i. e., balanced and $CI(k)$)

- Strict avalanche criterion (SAC)
($\forall a: \text{wt}(a) = 1, \Pr[f(u \oplus a) \neq f(u)] = \frac{1}{2}$)
- Propagation criterion (PC)
 - PC_a : w. r. t. a vector a ($\Pr[f(u \oplus a) \neq f(u)] = \frac{1}{2}$)
 - $\text{PC}(k)$: of degree k (PC_a for all a with $1 \leq \text{wt}(a) \leq k$)

- $\text{supp } W_f$
- $L_f = \{u \in V_n \mid \forall v \in V_n \ f(v \oplus u) = f(v) \oplus \varepsilon_u, \varepsilon_u \in \mathbb{F}_2\}$
(space of linear structures)
- $\text{PC}_f = \{u \in V_n \mid f \text{ satisfies } \text{PC}_u\}$
- $\text{CI}_f = \{u \in V_n \mid f \text{ is correlation immune w. r. t. } u\}$

- Nonlinearity (distance to \mathcal{A}_n):

$$\text{nl}(f) = 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \max_{u \in V_n} |W_h(u)|$$

- Curvature: $\text{curv}(f) = \sum_{u \in V_n} |W_f(u)|$

[R. A. de la Cruz Jimenez, O. V. Kamlovskiy, 2016]

[O. A. Logachev, S. N. Fedorov, V. V. Yashchenko, 2018]

- Global avalanche characteristics (GAC):

$$\sigma_f = \sum_{u \in V_n} \Delta_f^2(u) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_f = \max_{\mathbf{0} \neq u \in V_n} |\Delta_f(u)|$$

- Distance to $\{g \mid L_g \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}\}$: $\text{ls}(f) = 2^{n-2} - \frac{1}{4} \delta_f$

- Distance to algebraic degenerate functions:

$$\text{nd}(f) = 2^{n-2} - \frac{1}{4} \max_{\mathbf{0} \neq u \in V_n} \Delta_f(u) \quad [\text{E. K. Alekseev, 2011}]$$

- Maximal order of correlation immunity: $\text{cor}(f)$

Preserving properties within Δ -equivalence classes

For any $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_n$ being Δ -equivalent to each other, i. e., $f \stackrel{\Delta}{\sim} g$, the following statements are true:

- f is balanced $\iff g$ is balanced;
 - $f(x)$ has inessential $x_i \iff g(x)$ has inessential x_i ;
 - f satisfies SAC $\iff g$ satisfies SAC;
 - f satisfies PC(k) $\iff g$ satisfies PC(k);
 - f is CI(k) $\iff g$ is CI(k);
 - f is k -resilient $\iff g$ is k -resilient.
-
- $\text{supp } W_f = \text{supp } W_g$;
 - $L_f = L_g$;
 - $CI_f = CI_g$;
 - $PC_f = PC_g$.
-
- $\text{nl}(f) = \text{nl}(g)$;
 - $\text{curv}(f) = \text{curv}(g)$;
 - $(\sigma_f, \delta_f) = (\sigma_g, \delta_g)$;
 - $\text{ls}(f) = \text{ls}(g)$;
 - $\text{nd}(f) = \text{nd}(g)$;
 - $\text{cor}(f) = \text{cor}(g)$.

Some further properties of Δ -equivalence

There are characteristics of Boolean function that are not invariant within Δ -equivalence classes. These are, for example, $\text{wt}(f)$, $\text{deg}(f)$, algebraic immunity $AI(f)$, $nl_r(f)$ ($r > 1$),...

$$\mathfrak{G}_n = \{(A, a, l) \mid A \in \mathfrak{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_2), a \in V_n, l \in \mathcal{A}_n\}$$

$$f^{(A,a,l)}(u) = f(Au \oplus a) \oplus l(u)$$

The classification induced by this group action does not correlate with Δ -equivalence.

The Δ -equivalence classes are blocks of imprimitivity for the group \mathfrak{G}_n .

The translation group $\mathfrak{I}_n \subset \mathfrak{G}_n$ acts on \mathcal{F}_n ($f(u) \mapsto f(u \oplus a)$) so that each orbit $f^{\mathfrak{I}_n}$ is a subset of some Δ -equivalence class.